

Letter to the Editor



Demographic, Social, and Clinical Profiles of Patients Initiating Lecanemab in Clinical Practice: A Single-Center Experience in Korea

Jeongha Lee ,¹ Hyeongjin Kim ,² Hee Kyung Park ,^{1,2} Suah Kim ,¹ Kyung Bok Lee ,² Heekyoung Kang ,^{1,2} Daeun Shin ,^{1,2} Jun Pyo Kim ,^{1,2,3} Byung Hwa Lee ¹

¹Department of Neurology, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

²Alzheimer's Disease Convergence Research Center, Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, Korea

³Department of Health Sciences and Technology, Samsung Advanced Institute for Health Sciences & Technology (SAIHST), Sungkyunkwan University, Seoul, Korea



Received: Mar 9, 2026

Revised: Apr 2, 2026

Accepted: Apr 6, 2026

Published online: Apr 15, 2026

Correspondence to

Byung Hwa Lee

Department of Neurology, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, 81 Irwon-ro, Gangnam-gu, Seoul 06351, Korea.

Email: byuryhan@hanmail.net

© 2026 Korean Dementia Association

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ORCID iDs

Jeongha Lee

<https://orcid.org/0009-0002-6125-3813>

Hyeongjin Kim

<https://orcid.org/0009-0004-9466-3346>

Hee Kyung Park

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6339-0059>

Suah Kim

<https://orcid.org/0009-0006-3155-0963>

Kyung Bok Lee

<https://orcid.org/0009-0007-5100-8941>

Heekyoung Kang



<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0287-6818>

Dear Editor,

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a leading cause of dementia worldwide, and the development of disease-modifying therapies targeting amyloid pathology has reshaped the therapeutic landscape of AD.^{1,2} Lecanemab demonstrated modest but statistically significant slowing of cognitive decline in patients with early AD and has since entered routine clinical use in several countries, including Korea.^{3,4} However, its implementation requires biweekly infusions and repeated clinical monitoring, including magnetic resonance imaging, resulting in substantial financial burden.⁴ These requirements may influence access to treatment. Emerging real-world data from Western healthcare systems suggest that early uptake of lecanemab may be concentrated among socioeconomically advantaged populations.⁵ To date, comparable data from Asian healthcare systems remain limited. Accordingly, we described the demographic characteristics, social determinants of health (SDOH), and baseline clinical profiles of patients initiating lecanemab at a single tertiary center in Korea.

We recruited consecutive patients initiating lecanemab in routine clinical practice at a tertiary hospital in Seoul, Korea between the initiation of lecanemab treatment at our institution in January 2025 and September 2025. Eligible participants were patients who received at least one lecanemab infusion and met national eligibility criteria for early AD with biomarker-confirmed amyloid pathology.⁶ Data were collected at treatment initiation and from neuropsychological assessments conducted within three months after treatment initiation, during which additional social information was also obtained. Variables included demographic characteristics (age, sex), SDOH (education, employment status, region of residence, marital status, and caregiver accompaniment), and clinical measures. Clinical variables included *APOE* ϵ 4 carrier status, Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR),⁷ Korean Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE)-2,⁸ and the Seoul Cognitive Status Test (SCST),⁹ a tablet-based cognitive battery assessing five domains (attention, language, visuospatial, memory, and executive function) with z-scores standardized to internal norms.

Demographic/Social Profiles of Lecanemab Recipients

Daeun Shin  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4834-1026>
 Jun Pyo Kim  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4376-3107>
 Byung Hwa Lee  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7415-8554>

Conflict of Interest
 The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

Author Contributions
 Conceptualization: Lee J, Kim H, Kim S, Lee BH; Data curation: Kim H, Lee J, Park HK, Lee KB, Kang H, Shin D, Kim JP; Formal analysis: Lee J; Methodology: Lee J, Kim S, Lee BH; Project administration and supervision: Lee J, Park HK, Lee KB, Kim JP, Lee BH; Writing - original draft: Lee J, Park HK, Lee BH; Writing - review & editing: Park HK, Kim JP, Lee BH.

A total of 118 patients were included in the descriptive analysis. Seventy patients (59.3%) were *APOE* ε4 carriers, 83.1% of patients were classified as global CDR 0.5, and the mean MMSE score was 24.3±3.0. Baseline cognitive performance on the SCST showed the greatest impairment in the memory domain (mean z-score=-2.66), with milder deficits in attention (-0.61), language (-1.02), executive (-1.04), and visuospatial (-1.04) domains. Of these, 79 (66.9%) were female. Patients aged ≤74 years accounted for 60.2%, including 15.3% aged ≤64 years and 44.9% aged 65–74 years. Most patients had relatively high educational attainment, with 59.3% reporting >12 years of education, while smaller proportions had 10–12 years (21.2%), 7–9 years (6.8%), or ≤6 years (12.7%) of education. The majority had a history of employment (90.7%), and 28.8% were currently employed. Regarding region of residence, 79.7% of patients lived in the capital metropolitan region, and 20.3% lived in provincial areas. A total of 100% of patients had ever been married, of whom 81.4% were currently married. Caregivers were most commonly spouses (49.2%) or adult children (39.8%), and only a small proportion of patients were unaccompanied. Demographic, SDOH, and clinical characteristics are summarized (**Table 1**).

This study describes the demographic, social, and clinical characteristics of patients initiating lecanemab in real-world practice in Korea. Patients were predominantly aged ≤74 years and were largely at an early disease stage, consistent with current indications for

Table 1. Baseline demographic, social, and clinical characteristics of patients initiating lecanemab (n=118)

Characteristics	Values
Female sex	79 (66.9)
Age group (yr)	
≤64	18 (15.3)
65–74	53 (44.9)
75–84	44 (37.3)
≥85	3 (2.5)
Education (yr)	
≤6	15 (12.7)
7–9	8 (6.8)
10–12	25 (21.2)
>12	70 (59.3)
Employment history	
Ever employed (lifetime)	107 (90.7)
Currently employed (at baseline)	34 (28.8)
Region of residence	
Capital metropolitan region	94 (79.7)
Provincial areas	24 (20.3)
Marital status	
Married (current)	96 (81.4)
Widowed	18 (15.3)
Divorced/separated	4 (3.4)
Never married	0 (0.0)
Accompanying caregiver at infusion visits	
Spouse	58 (49.2)
Adult child	47 (39.8)
Other (e.g., sibling/friend)	5 (4.2)
None	8 (6.8)
CDR	
0.5	98 (83.1)
1.0	20 (16.9)
<i>APOE</i> ε4 carrier status	70 (59.3)
K-MMSE-2	24.3±3.0

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation or number (%).
 CDR: Clinical Dementia Rating, K-MMSE-2: Korean Mini-Mental State Examination-2.

lecanemab use.^{4,6} Baseline cognitive performance showed the greatest impairment in memory, with milder deficits across other domains, consistent with the characteristic cognitive profile of early AD.^{10,11} Patients had relatively high educational attainment and high rates of lifetime employment and were predominantly concentrated in the capital metropolitan region. Most patients were married and accompanied by a caregiver, most commonly a spouse or adult child, which may reflect the availability of social support during treatment. Prior reports from Western healthcare systems have described similar patterns, in which early uptake of lecanemab tends to occur among individuals with more favorable socioeconomic conditions.⁵ In line with these observations, our findings may suggest that real-world access to lecanemab could be influenced not only by clinical eligibility but also by underlying social and economic resources. However, direct comparisons should be interpreted cautiously given differences in healthcare systems and sociocultural contexts. This study has several limitations, including its single-center design in the capital metropolitan area and its reliance on descriptive analysis without comparison with untreated patients. In addition, we lacked detailed socioeconomic data (e.g., household income level, insurance status, and occupational class), which limited our ability to fully characterize the socioeconomic profile of patients accessing lecanemab and to explore potential variations in access across socioeconomic groups. Since these results merely suggest possible correlations between SDOH indicators and accessing lecanemab, they should not be interpreted as causal relationships. Nevertheless, these findings provide an initial real-world characterization of patients receiving lecanemab and may serve as a reference for future evaluations of how social factors influence access to disease-modifying therapies as they become more widely implemented.

REFERENCES

1. 2024 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. *Alzheimers Dement* 2024;20:3708-3821. [PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
2. Belder CRS, Schott JM, Fox NC. Preparing for disease-modifying therapies in Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet Neurol* 2023;22:782-783. [PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
3. van Dyck CH, Swanson CJ, Aisen P, Bateman RJ, Chen C, Gee M, et al. Lecanemab in early Alzheimer's disease. *N Engl J Med* 2023;388:9-21. [PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
4. Cummings J, Apostolova L, Rabinovici GD, Atri A, Aisen P, Greenberg S, et al. Lecanemab: appropriate use recommendations. *J Prev Alzheimers Dis* 2023;10:362-377. [PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
5. Zhou FF, Essien UR, Souza JM, Sarkisian CA, Damberg CL, Landon BE, et al. Disparities in early lecanemab uptake among US medicare beneficiaries. *JAMA Netw Open* 2025;8:e2511711. [PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
6. Park KH, Kim GH, Kim CH, Koh SH, Moon SY, Park YH, et al. Lecanemab: appropriate use recommendations by Korean Dementia Association. *Dement Neurocogn Disord* 2024;23:165-187. [PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
7. Choi SH, Na DL, Lee BH, Hahm DS, Jeong JH, Yoon SJ, et al. Estimating the validity of the Korean version of expanded Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) scale. *J Korean Neurol Assoc* 2001;19:585-591.
8. Kang Y, Jahng S, Kim S; Korean Dementia Association. *Korean Mini-Mental State Examination-2nd Edition (K-MMSE-2) User's Guide*. Seoul: Inpsyt, 2020.
9. Kim YJ, Shin JS, An D, Na DL, Kim HJ. Clinical utility and diagnostic accuracy of the tablet-based Seoul Cognitive Status Test: evidence for scalable cognitive assessment. *Dement Neurocogn Disord* 2025;24:286-300. [PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
10. Dubois B, Feldman HH, Jacova C, Dekosky ST, Barberger-Gateau P, Cummings J, et al. Research criteria for the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: revising the NINCDS-ADRDA criteria. *Lancet Neurol* 2007;6:734-746. [PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
11. Albert MS, DeKosky ST, Dickson D, Dubois B, Feldman HH, Fox NC, et al. The diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 2011;7:270-279. [PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)